

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 95-7533**

---

MIKE BAILEY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

JASPER CLAY, JR., Vice Chairman; JOHN DOE,  
National Appeals Board, United States Parole  
Commission; CAROL PAVILACK GETTY, Commission-  
er; G. MILLS, Examiner; R. WAGNER, Examiner;  
C. YOUNG, Examiner, North Central Region,  
United States Parole Commission; J. HAGEN,  
Probation Officer, District of South Dakota;  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendants - Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of  
Maryland, at Baltimore. Benson E. Legg, District Judge. (CA-95-  
1674-L)

---

Submitted: March 19, 1996

Decided: March 29, 1996

---

Before MURNAGHAN and NIEMEYER, Circuit Judges, and CHAPMAN, Senior  
Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Mike Bailey, Appellant Pro Se.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.  
See Local Rule 36(c).



PER CURIAM:

Appellant appeals from the district court's order dismissing this civil action without requiring service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) (1985). We have reviewed the record and the district court's opinion and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm substantially on the reasoning of the district court. Bailey v. Clay, No. CA-95-1674-L (D. Md. Aug. 31, 1995). We note that because Appellant has alleged mere negligence on the part of the Defendants, he has not stated a claim under the Privacy Act. 5 U.S.C.A. § 552a(g) (West 1977 & Supp. 1995); see Edison v. Department of the Army, 672 F.2d 840, 842-46 (11th Cir. 1982). We deny Appellant's motions for the appointment of counsel and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED